

### **Evidence Based Practice Algorithm**

Authored by the Northwell Health EBP Task Force **Stimulus Raising Clinical Question/Problem** 1. Formulate the Question **Formulate the EBP Question** Use PICO Anatomy Guide 🛄 to fill PICO Question Template 🗢 Locate and Organize the External and/or Internal Evidence Categorizing, Appraising and Rating the Evidence Complete the Table of Evidence 📤 1. Identify the Level of Evidence 2. Appraise evidence with checklists 3. Evaluate Strength and Quality of Evidence using GRADE Tool Complete the Evidence Synthesis Table(s) 🚣 2. Locate, Appraise, and Synthesize the Organize the Outcome Summary specific to your PICO question Evidence Complete the Evidence Outcome Summary 2. Evaluate Strength of Evidence using GRADE Tool Develop recommendations based on Level, Quality and Strength of Evidence -Weak Evidence Strong Evidence No Evidence Ensure safe practice based on **Evaluate current** scientific principles and **Practice** observed positive outcomes. **GAP ANALYSIS** 3. Translate Evidence into Practice is based Practice is not Practice on evidence based on evidence Conduct Research

> Implement Evidence

Continue current

practice





# Anatomy of a well-built clinical question: PICO

PICO is a model for translating clinical problems into searchable clinical questions

#### P Patient or Problem

- What are you trying to address?
- Does gender/age influence clinical care?

In adolescents who have...,
In older patients diagnosed with...
In patients with asthma
In patients treated with antipsychotic medications
In patients with the risk for cardiac-related death, etc.

#### Could include:

- · A single patient
- · A group of patients with a particular
- condition or with similar demographic characteristics
- · A health care problem

#### I Intervention or Interest

What will you do for the patient?

is the ...
will/does the use/ implementation
what is/are
how do ...

#### Could include:

- Exposure
- Diagnostic test
- Prognostic factor
- Therapy
- Surgery

- Patient perception
- Exercise
- Diet
- Measurement
- Implementation

## C Comparison intervention or group

- Applicable mostly to therapy-related questions
- What is an alternative to compare with the intervention?

#### It could be:

- Another intervention
- Gold standard
- No intervention

#### compared with

### Outcome

What do you want to achieve or avoid? What will be improved for the patient?

#### It could be:

- Mortality
- Clinical outcomes
- · Quality of life
- Exacerbation
- Full recovery
- Remission

improve..., increase..., decrease..., reduce..., eliminate..., how effective..., affects...

Created by Tanya Shkolnikov, Senior Librarian, North Shore University Hospital







#### **EVIDENCE BASED PRACTICE**

## <u>PICO Question Template</u> Formulating the PICO Question

Name	Date
	PICO
Patient Population/Problem	em/Disease
(Age, Gender, Ethnicity, With certain	disorder)
	about. Is it answerable, feasible, and significant to practice?
Intervention	
Define which intervention you want	t to test/compare
Comparison	
Define the alternate intervention	
Outcomes	
Define the outcomes that you want	to achieve or avoid

## **←**BACK

#### **Northwell Health**

**Evidence Based Practice: Table of Evidence** 

Project Title:	Reviewer:
Hospital Name:	<u>Date of Review</u> :

Article Being Reviewed in APA Format:

Version Date: 5/7/19

e.g.: Babiss, F., Thomas, L., & Fricke, M. M. (2017). Innovative Team Training for Patient Safety: Comparing Classroom Learning to Experiential Training. *The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing, 48*(12), 563-569. doi:10.3928/00220124-20171115-08

Study Design & Level of Evidence (Check "Rating System for the Hierarchy of Evidence")	Sample Size and Setting (Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria; is the sample size adequate?)	Methods (Consider independent and/or dependent variables or method of review)	Findings (What are the results and author's conclusions?)	Strength & Limitations	Recommendation (Reviewer's comments; does this article help answer the PICO question? Should it be included?)





# Rating System for the Hierarchy of Evidence

Level of Evidence	Description
Level 1	Evidence from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCT's), or evidence based clinical practice guidelines based on systematic reviews of RCT's
Level 2	Evidence obtained from at least one well developed RCT
Level 3	Evidence from well-designed controlled trials without randomization
Level 4	Evidence from well-designed case-control and cohort studies
Level 5	Evidence from systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies
Level 6	Evidence from a single descriptive or qualitative study
Level 7	Evidence from the opinion of authorities and/or expert committees



# Critical Appraisal Skills Program Checklists

\*CLICK THE BLUE UNDERLINED TITLE TO ACCESS THE CHECKLIST

#### Case Control checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Case Control) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### Clinical Prediction Rule checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Clinical Prediction Rule) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### Cohort Study checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Cohort Study) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### Diagnostic checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Diagnostic) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### Economic Evaluation checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Economic Evaluation) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### • Qualitative Research checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Qualitative Research) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

#### Randomized Controlled Trial checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Case Control) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

### Systematic Review checklist

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2017). CASP (Systematic Review) Checklist. [online] Available at: *URL*. Accessed: *Date 03/08/18*.

# AGREE II Instrument (Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation)

Agree II Instrument starts on page 47 of the pdf document.

AGREE Next Steps Consortium (2017). *The AGREE II Instrument* [Electronic version]. Retrieved <March, 08, 2018>, from <a href="http://www.agreetrust.org">http://www.agreetrust.org</a>.



# Determine Quality and Strength of Evidence

**GRADE** - Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluations

Quality of Evidence	Definitions
High	We are very confident that the true effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome. That is, another study would not change the conclusion.
Moderate	We are moderately confident that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome. That is, the findings are likely to be stable, but some doubt remains.
Low	We have limited confidence that the estimate of effect lies close to the true effect for this outcome. Additional evidence is needed.
Insufficient	We have no evidence, we are unable to estimate an effect, or we have no confidence in the estimate of effect for this outcome.

Strength of Recommendations					
Strong	Weak  (conditional/discretionary)				
	(conditional/discretionary)				

Reference: N.D. Berkman et al. / Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 68 (2015) 1312-1324

### Levels of Evidence Synthesis Table Template

Place an 'X' under each article to identify the level of evidence.	1	Article 3	Article 4	Article 5	Article 6	Article 7	Article 8	Article 9	Article 10	Article 11	Article 12	Article 13	Article 14	Article 15
Level I: Evidence from a systematic review or meta-analysis of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or evidence-based clinical practice guidelines based on systematic reviews of RCTS														
Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one well developed RCT														
Level III: Evidence from well- designed controlled trials without randomization														
Level IV: Evidence from well- designed case-control and cohort studies														
Level V: Evidence from systematic reviews of descriptive and qualitative studies														
Level VI: Evidence from a single descriptive or qualitative study														
Level VII: Evidence from the opinion of authorities and/or expert committees														

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From: Melnyk, Bernadette Mazurek, and Ellen Fineout-Overholt. <u>Evidence-Based Practice in Nursing & Healthcare: A Guide to Best Practice</u>

# **←** BACK

## **Evidence Outcome Summary**

Synthesis Topic/PICO Question:	·	
--------------------------------	---	--

Sources of Evidence	One sentence summary of what the study is about.	List the findings in bullet format.	Reason(s) you wouldn't use this evidence.	Author's conclusions and the bottom line result(s)?	Do the results answer your question?	Additional comments.
Last Name and Year of Publication						
2. Last Name and Year of Publication						
3. Last Name and Year of Publication						
4. Last Name and Year of Publication						
5. Last Name and Year of Publication						

<sup>\*</sup>Include last name and year of publication (For example, Wright, 2017) there may be more than 3 sources



## Developing recommendations based on Level, Quality and Strength of Evidence

#### PICO Question:

Recommendations	Source	Level of evidence	Quality & Strength of evidence	Results –align with PICO Q	Limitations Benefit vs. harm