Dear National Spiritual Assembly,

After reading this letter in the Assembly chambers with a quorum present, we request a response directly from the National Spiritual Assembly, so that we know this message got to you and wasn't answered by a committee or other representative. We are a group of over 100 Baha'is responding to yet another letter allowing only vaccinated people to be involved in a Baha'i sponsored event. Surely you must see the divisiveness of these recommendations, excluding Friends from participation in the gatherings of their Faith. This creates medical apartheid. Perhaps you have overlooked the myriad Writings about health directing individuals to seek the advice of trusted and experienced physicians and make those decisions with their doctor. Perhaps you have overlooked that there are individuals for whom taking this injection is dangerous. Maybe you are unaware of the 875,651 reports of adverse reactions to these injections as of November 5, 2021, including 18,461 deaths reported to VAERS, and by their own study admittedly only captures under 1% of actual injuries. Maybe you're even unaware of the 75 and counting world-class athletes in the prime of their health and fitness who have died after taking the injection, much of which was televised as they collapsed on their playing fields. And perhaps you are unaware of the sudden deaths of children and adolescents and numerous cases of myocarditis and pericarditis since injecting the young people started.

As Baha'is we are called upon to independently investigate the truth in all matters. Among our group we have completed tens of thousands of hours of independent research. We have done extensive investigation and find no justification for the restrictions that have been imposed on the Friends, either from moral, spiritual, medical, or legal venues. If you had the time to invest in independent research, you would come to the same conclusion.

As Baha'is we are also required to follow the laws of the land. Perhaps you don't know that no mandate or executive order is law, and further that even if a law is passed and is contrary to the Constitution of the United States, that it cannot stand and the citizens are responsible to speak out and strike it down so that all laws are in conformity with the Constitution. Perhaps you don't know that all the covid measures are under Emergency Use Authorization, which makes the EUA illegal as there are other treatments available, and they certainly cannot be enforced without informed consent per the laws governing Emergency Use Authorization. How can there be informed consent when the death numbers and adverse reactions are not disclosed to the recipient? I personally saw someone open the sealed boxes of two of the brands of the injections and the package insert was BLANK, and one said "intentionally blank" on it. The package insert on all medications and vaccines are supposed to disclose the ingredients, side effects and contra-indications. There is NO WAY individuals are given informed consent, a REQUIREMENT of all EUA products and procedures, and a clear violation of law. And as you must know, there is an injunction in federal court stopping the continuance of the injections, so anyone who proceeds is in violation of the law. After the 5th Circuit Court issued an injunction against Biden's vaccine mandate, OSHA has suspended implementation and enforcement of the mandate. Any employer who enforces the vaccine mandate is doing it outside of the law and risks being sued.

Why is the National Spiritual Assembly sanctioning "mandates" (not laws) that are in direct opposition to the highest law of the land, the Constitution of the United States? Perhaps you don't understand what laws are being broken? Do you know that according to United States law that laws **are not altered by emergencies?** We are sure you know about the spiritual Writings on health and medicine, but maybe haven't the time to investigate the legal requirements. We submit the following laws that are worthy of consideration.

###

There is no statutory law that requires you, your employees or your customers to wear a mask, get their temperature taken, stay six feet apart, or get an experimental injection.

There is no law that requires you to serve your customers outside or reduce the number of people in your business establishment.

In fact, if you require your customers to wear a mask or restrict their movement or entry if they are not wearing a mask, **you are at risk for violating several federal and state laws and will PERSONALLY** be at risk for fines and imprisonment upon conviction of these crimes:

U.S. FEDERAL LAWS

1. U.S. Constitution, 1st Amendment, Right to Assemble, Right to Freedom of Speech, Right to Religious Expression

Requiring someone to wear a mask as a condition to assemble in your place of public accommodation is an infringement of the right protected under the U.S. Constitution, the highest law of the land. No law is valid or lawful that violates the Constitution. No health order, emergency order, state of emergency, municipal ordinance, or store policy may suspend or violate the Constitution, period.

In addition to the 1st Amendment, the Department of Justice has stated, "*There is no pandemic exception, however, to the fundamental liberties the Constitution safeguards. Indeed, "individual rights secured by the Constitution do not disappear during a public health crisis."* —*In re Abbott,* --- F.3d ---, 2020 WL 1685929, at *6 (5th Cir. Apr. 7, 2020)

2. U.S. Constitution, 4th Amendment, Right to Privacy

Forcing or coercing a person to disclose their medical status is a violation of the 4th Amendment. Further, gathering vital statistics such as taking one's temperature is a violation of a person's right to privacy. Violation of this protection could result in your actions being reported to the U.S. Department of Justice, which is required by law to investigate Civil Rights Violations.

3. U.S. Title 52, Civil Rights Act of 1964: Unlawful to Discriminate in place of Public Accommodations

Your business establishment is legally defined as a place of "public accommodation" and as such you may not prohibit entry by discriminating against someone for their medical condition, disability or religious views. If someone is unable or unwilling to wear a mask or submit to a medical treatment, you may not prohibit their entry, nor may you file a charge of trespassing because of their legally protected status. Just as you would not be able to deny entry to someone based on their skin color, you may not deny entry to someone based on their medical status. Having someone else shop for them, or

requiring curbside delivery is NOT a reasonable accommodation, as it denies the "full enjoyment and equal access to facilities, services and accommodations," as REQUIRED BY LAW.

- 4. U.S. Title 42, Section 12101: Unlawful to Deny Entry to Persons with Disability or perceived medical condition (ADA) and...
- 5. U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act: Unlawful to Deny Entry to Persons with Disability or perceived medical condition

Just as you would not be able to deny entry to someone in a wheelchair, you may not deny entry to someone not based on their medical status. Having someone else shop for them, or requiring curbside delivery is NOT a reasonable accommodation, as it denies the "full enjoyment and equal access to facilities, services and accommodations,"

U.S. STATE LAWS

In addition to the federal laws above, all 50 states have passed many laws that protect citizens from the above violations. A common one states that unless you are a licensed medical professional, you have no authority to require someone to wear a mask or submit to any medical treatment because it is legally considered a medical intervention. **Doing so is** practicing medicine without a license, and is subject to legal prosecution.

###

We purposely didn't laden this letter with links so you could focus on the law. Several letters have been sent to you in the past that had lots of background information and links that are not available on mainstream media and take time to find due to the rampant censorship. We appreciate the enormous amount of time you have had to spend on this issue, and offer the information above to supplement your knowledge base and fill in information you may not have had time to pursue. Thank you for your time and considered response. We look forward to your response and pray you will rescind your sanction of these illegal mandates and proclamations, in addition to honoring the Writings of our Faith on health and independent investigation of the truth.

Thomas Jefferson said: "If a law is unjust, a man is not only right to disobey it, he is obligated to do so."

Baha'is for Truth, Justice and Medical Freedom cc: The Universal House of Justice